

BTS: Exploring Effects of Background Task-Aware Scheduling for Key-Value CSDs

Yeohyeon Park, Chang-Gyu Lee, Seungjin Lee, Inhyuk Park, Soonyeal Yang, Woosuk Chung, Youngjae Kim

7th International Parallel Data Systems Workshop (PDSW'22)





Outline

Background

□ Computational Storage Device (CSD)

□ Intel SPDK

Image: Motivation

Proposed Architecture

- □ BTS : Background Task-Aware Scheduler
- □ Execution Flow

□ Evaluation

□ Conclusion and Q&A



□ What is computational storage device (CSD)?

□ What is computational storage device (CSD)?

Computational storage devices (CSD) can run computational tasks inside the storage device, reducing data transfer between the host and the device.

□ What is computational storage device (CSD)?

Computational storage devices (CSD) can run computational tasks inside the storage device, reducing data transfer between the host and the device.

□ CSD without OS

□ CSD with OS

- □ What is computational storage device (CSD)?
 - Computational storage devices (CSD) can run computational tasks inside the storage device, reducing data transfer between the host and the device.

CSD without OS



[1] Z. Ruan et. al., "INSIDER: Designing In-Storage Computing System for Emerging High-Performance Drive," USENIX ATC '19 [2] W. Cao et. al., "POLARDB Meets Computational Storage: Efficiently Support Analytical Workloads in Cloud-Native Relational Database," FAST '14

CSD with OS

- □ What is computational storage device (CSD)?
 - Computational storage devices (CSD) can run computational tasks inside the storage device, reducing data transfer between the host and the device.

CSD without OS

CSD with OS



[1] Z. Ruan et. al., "INSIDER: Designing In-Storage Computing System for Emerging High-Performance Drive," USENIX ATC '19
[2] W. Cao et. al., "POLARDB Meets Computational Storage: Efficiently Support Analytical Workloads in Cloud-Native Relational Database," FAST '14

Pros

> Programmability, and manageability

Cons

> OS overhead due to frequent interrupts and context switches

Pros

Programmability, and manageability

Cons

> OS overhead due to frequent interrupts and context switches

To reduce OS overhead, CSD can adopt Intel SPDK^[1].

Pros

Programmability, and manageability

Cons

> OS overhead due to frequent interrupts and context switches



Pros

Programmability, and manageability

Cons

> OS overhead due to frequent interrupts and context switches



Traditional I/O Stack





□ User-level NVMe Driver





User-level NVMe Driver



□ User-level NVMe Driver



1. User level NVMe device driver

2. Binds I/O at the core

NVMe SSD

□ User-level NVMe Driver



Use Case

□ Storage Applications







Use Case



□ Storage Applications





Use Case







However, SPDK has a problem in that foreground I/O and background service tasks compete for CPU cores.



However, SPDK has a problem in that foreground I/O and background service tasks compete for CPU cores.

Contention of these tasks for CPU cores increases the response time of foreground I/O.

Executing Background Tasks in SPDK



Executing Background Tasks in SPDK



- □ Host machine
 - Running a db_bench (Two I/O threads issue write I/Os)
 - □ I/O request size = 16KB

CSD

- □ 4 Core device
- Running a Linux OS using Intel SPDK

□ Background task

- □ Offline deduplication
- □ Fingerprinting using a SHA-1 hash algorithm
- □ Light deduplication : 1KB chunk size, SHA1 16 times
- □ Heavy dedulication : 0.5KB chunk size, SHA1 32 times

□ Comparisons

- □ Only foreground I/O
- □ Foreground I/O + Background task (light)
- □ Foreground I/O + Background task (heavy)



- □ Host machine
 - Running a db_bench (Two I/O threads issue write I/Os)
 - □ I/O request size = 16KB

CSD

- □ 4 Core device
- □ Running a Linux OS using Intel SPDK



- □ Host machine
 - Running a db_bench (Two I/O threads issue write I/Os)
 - □ I/O request size = 16KB

CSD

- □ 4 Core device
- □ Running a Linux OS using Intel SPDK

□ Background task

- □ Offline deduplication
- □ Fingerprinting using a SHA-1 hash algorithm
- □ Light deduplication : 1KB chunk size, SHA1 16 times
- □ Heavy dedulication : 0.5KB chunk size, SHA1 32 times



- □ Host machine
 - Running a db_bench (Two I/O threads issue write I/Os)
 - □ I/O request size = 16KB

CSD

- □ 4 Core device
- Running a Linux OS using Intel SPDK

□ Background task

- □ Offline deduplication
- □ Fingerprinting using a SHA-1 hash algorithm
- □ Light deduplication : 1KB chunk size, SHA1 16 times
- □ Heavy dedulication : 0.5KB chunk size, SHA1 32 times

□ Comparisons

- □ Only foreground I/O
- □ Foreground I/O + Background task (light)
- □ Foreground I/O + Background task (heavy)













SPDK is not aware of background tasks. SPDK cannot perform dynamic task scheduling considering the load of each CPU core.

→ BTS : Background Task-aware Scheduler

BTS : Background Task-Aware Scheduler













Therefore, idle CPU cores cannot be utilized.





cores. This allows the SPDK to actively utilize idle CPU cores.

Background Task-aware Scheduler

Background Task-aware Scheduler



(1) Monitoring module





(1) Monitoring module

(2) Core selection module

1) Monitoring Module

Monitors the utilization of each core

□ Because SPDK randomly changes the core that handles foreground I/O



1) Monitoring Module

- Monitors the utilization of each core
 - □ Because SPDK randomly changes the core that handles foreground I/O
- □ The monitoring module periodically tracks the utilization of active cores
 - □ Active core is CPU core that processes at least one foreground I/O

SPDK

2) Core Selection Module

Selects a core with low utilization and move background task to that core
Builds an idle core group (G) based on the CPU utilization of each core

2) Core Selection Module

□ Selects a core with low utilization and move background task to that core

- □ Builds an idle core group (G) based on the CPU utilization of each core
- □ Selects idle cores to execute background tasks from the idle core group (G)

SPDK

2) Core Selection Module

□ Selects a core with low utilization and move background task to that core

□ Builds an idle core group (G) based on the CPU utilization of each core

□ Selects idle cores to execute background tasks from the idle core group (G)

SPDK

Comparisons

- Case1: Only foreground I/O
- Case2: Foreground I/O + Deduplication without BTS
- Case3: Foreground I/O + Deduplication with BTS

Case 1 : Only foreground I/O Case 2 : Foreground I/O & Dedup without BTS Case 3 : Foreground I/O & Dedup with BTS

Case 1 : Only foreground I/O Case 2 : Foreground I/O & Dedup without BTS Case 3 : Foreground I/O & Dedup with BTS

Case 1 : Only foreground I/O Case 2 : Foreground I/O & Dedup without BTS Case 3 : Foreground I/O & Dedup with BTS

Case 1 : Only foreground I/O Case 2 : Foreground I/O & Dedup without BTS Case 3 : Foreground I/O & Dedup with BTS

BTS reduced latency by an average of 47.8% when the number of host I/O threads was less than 10.

Under heavy load, the migration overhead for background tasks outweighs the performance gains.

- □ We have identified a problem with SPDK where background tasks increase the response time of foreground I/O in CSD using SPDK
- □ We proposed a **Background Task-Aware Scheduler (BTS)** in SPDK for CSD
- □ Comprehensive evaluation showed that the BTS scheduler is effective when core utilization is rather low

- □ We have identified a problem with SPDK where background tasks increase the response time of foreground I/O in CSD using SPDK
- □ We proposed a **Background Task-Aware Scheduler (BTS)** in SPDK for CSD
- □ Comprehensive evaluation showed that the BTS scheduler is effective when core utilization is rather low
- □ BTS can be applied to any storage system using SPDK

Thank you!

<u>Yeohyeon Park</u> <u>yeohyeon@sogang.ac.kr</u>